A Review on Coagulation/Flocculation for the Treatment of Landfill Leachate

Anusha Maharjan ^a, Anish Ghimire ^b

^a, Department of Civil Engineering, Pulchowk Campus, IOE, Tribhuvan University, Nepal

^b, Department of Environmental Science and Engineering, School of Science, Kathmandu University, Nepal

a maharjanaanusha@gmail.com, ^b anishghimire@ku.edu.np

Abstract

Landfill leachate (LL) is a complex, toxic liquid generated due to the decomposition of solid waste in the landfill sites. If untreated, it poses a significant environmental threat and its management and treatment has become a challenge worldwide due to its diverse composition and potential to easily contaminate soil and water resources. Before being released into the environment, the LL needs to undergo proper treatment to prevent any such adverse effects on the surroundings. Typically, the selection of a suitable treatment technique depends on various LL parameters such as COD, *BOD*₅/COD ratio, or landfill age. Coagulation/flocculation is a chemical treatment process that is simple yet effective for the treatment of LL. This technique depends mostly on the pH, temperature, quality of leachate, and the choice of coagulant, in yielding desirable results. This review summarizes different studies on the use and efficiency of coagulation/flocculation for the treatment of landfill leachate.

Keywords

Landfill leachate, Coagulation-flocculation, Alum, Ferric Chloride

1. Introuction

Landfill leachate (LL) is a mixture of percolated rainfall, waste-produced water, and waste-inherent water, which contains significant concentrations of dissolved organic matter (DOM), salts, and other minerals [1]. LL generation is a major issue as they can easily contaminate soil, surface water, and groundwater [2]. They are high-strength effluent with complex elements commonly characterized by foul odor, dark color, organic substances like humic acids (HAs), high chemical oxygen demand (COD), ammonia-nitrogen, biological oxygen demand BOD₅, etc., which mostly depends on the age of the landfill, the content of landfill and the biodegradation stages of the landfill [3].

The increase in municipal solid waste (MSW) generation is rising and will continue to rise resulting in major environmental and economic issues for society [3]. Each year urban areas produce about 1.3 billion tonnes of MSW per year and by the end of 2025 that amount will have doubled [4]. The disposal of MSW in landfills is still the method that is most frequently used throughout the world. Landfilling is a comparatively quick, low-cost, and popular approach for managing MSW when compared to alternative technologies such as incineration and composting [5]. Up to 95% of the MSW that is collected globally is reportedly disposed into landfills which will continuously contribute to the generation of LL [6].

Therefore, the treatment of LL prior to its discharge should be done to meet the effluent standards. A variety of biological, and physicochemical treatment techniques have been investigated to meet thes discharge criteria in various nations. Amongst these techniques, physicochemical treatments have been studied as primary treatment techniques prior to other biological treatment techniques [7]. There are several physicochemical technologies, including coagulation/flocculation, air stripping, adsorption, and advanced chemical oxidation processes, amongst which coagulation/flocculation has been commonly used because of their effectiveness, simplicity, ease of use and low cost [8]. Therefore, this review aims to present a compilation of the different studies done on the use of chemical coagulation/flocculation for LL treatment.

2. Characteristics of Landfill Leachate

The composition of LL produced by the breakdown of solid wastes varies greatly due to the age of the landfill, the degree of compaction of the wastes in the landfill and the rate at which water percolates through them [9, 10]. In addition, the anaerobic decomposition in the landfill sites causes a significant amount of ammonia-nitrogen formation[11]. LL may also contain persistent organic contaminant along with a variety of low and medium-polarity organic compounds which includes amines, alcohols, carboxylic acids, aldehydes, ketones, phenols, hydrocarbons, etc. [12]. Also traces of heavy metals such as chromium (Cr), manganese (Mn), cadmium (Cd), lead (Pb), iron (Fe), Nickel (Ni), and zinc (Zn) are commonly found in LL [13]. Typically, LL are divided into three groups with their specific characteristics as shown in Table 1 [13].

Table 1: Var	iation in LL	characteristics	with time
--------------	--------------	-----------------	-----------

Parameters Young		Intermediate	Old
Age (Years)	<5	5-10	>10
pН	<6.5	6.5-7.5	>7.5
COD (mg/L)	>10,000	5,000-10,000	<5,000
$BOD_5 \text{ (mg/L)}$	>2,000	150-2,000	<150
BOD_5/COD	0.5-1.0	0.1-0.5	>0.1

LL characteristics such as pH, BOD, COD, and BOD/COD ratio, alter dramatically with the increase in the age of landfill [19]. For instance, due to the breakdown of organic waste the BOD and COD decrease with the increase in landfill age as a result, the BOD/COD ratio lowers with time [20]. As opposed to this, the pH value of the LL increases with landfill age and heavy metal concentrations decrease over time [21].

3. Factors Affecting Coagulation/flocculation

The most significant operating components influencing the efficiency of the coagulation process are temperature, turbidity, pH, coagulant dosage, mixing duration and speed. For the coagulant dosage, an optimal dose effectively removes colloidal particles from the LL however, an overdosage contaminates by increasing the organic load, turbidity, and slurry volume which further increases the treatment cost [22] whereas, an underdosage prevents complete aggregation. Similarly, the pH of the LL influences chemical reactions during the treatment process which is a crucial component affecting the process. Furthermore, alkalinity, which is the capacity to neutralize acidity, is absorbed by the majority of chemical coagulants, particularly ferric salts. Thus, poor flocs are produced if the alkalinity is too low. [23]. The initial turbidity of LL is another essential component that influences coagulation as the presence of colloidal particles in the effluent resulting in turbidity affects the clarity of the effluent [24]. Since high turbidity refers to high colloidal particles, it ensures the collision between the coagulant and the colloidal

particle resulting in better floc formation [24]. Larger, stronger flocs are produced by more impact and they settle more quickly, whereas, low initial turbidity lowers the likelihood of coagulants and contaminants colliding. Also, a low initial turbidity forms flake-like structures that take longer to settle. The mixing speed and time for the coagulation process is also a governing factor. When adding coagulant rapid mixing is used to promote uniform distribution of the coagulant to destabilize the suspended particle which is followed by gentle mixing to induce the particle collision forming macro flocs [23]. Since the speed and time of mixing determine the efficiency of the coagulation process, these two speed regulate the entire process.

3.1 Commonly Used Coagulants

Various types of coagulants can be employed for the tratment of LL. Aluminum sulfate (alum), aluminum chloride (AlCl₃), and sodium aluminate are some of the commonly used aluminum salts. These contribute to the creation of highly effective pre-polymerized inorganic coagulants, including polyaluminum chloride (PAC), polyaluminum sulfate (PAS), and polyaluminum chlorosulfate (PACS), with PAC being the most widely used [25]. Additionally, other metal coagulants such as ferric chloride (FeCl₃), ferric sulfate, ferrous sulfate, and ferric chloride sulfate are commonly utilized [25]. Many studies have investigated the application of coagulation/flocculation for treating LL, and Table 2 presents key findings from these investigations. Many studies have looked into using coagulation/flocculation to treat LL. Table 2 shows what some of these studies found.

	Coagulant	Optimum	Optimum	Parameters	Reference
		dose (g/L)	pН	Removed	
Landfill Leachate (Hamadan, Iran)	Polyaluminium Chloride	2.5	12	60% COD	[14]
				39.14% TSS	
Stabilized Landfill Leachate (Ranchi, India)	Aluminium Sulphate	9.5	6	63% COD	- [15]
				71% TSS	
				59% Turbidity	
	Ferric Chloride	2.5	4	80% COD	
				53% TSS	
				65% Turbidity	
Young Landfill Leachate (Sfax, Tunisia)	Ferric Chloride	0.8	4	46% COD	[16]
				50% TSS	
				63% Turbidity	
Landfill Leachate (Perlis, Malaysia)	Aluminium Sulphate	8	5	69.4% COD	[17]
				84.3% SS	
				94.5% Turbidity	
Stabilized Landfill Leachate (Sisdole, Nepal)	Aluminium Sulphate	25	5.29	43.46% COD	
				84.84% Turbidity	[18]
	Ferric Chloride	13.61	5	11.85% COD	
				96.03% Turbidity	
	Polyaluminium Chloride 30.46	30.46	5.17	30.28% COD	
		5.17	96.69% Turbidity		

Table 2: Findings from literature on optimum dose and pH for coagulation/flocculation for LL treatment

4. Conclusion

LL poses a serious threat to the surroundings as the presence of harmful chemicals like heavy metals, organic pollutants, and ammonia-nitrogen can easily contaminatesoil, surface and groundwater. To prevent the direct discharge of untreated LL, certain criteria have been set. In order to meet these amongst various techniques, coagulation/ criterias, flocculation is a promising one. But the optimal dosage and removal efficiency can vary for LL from different regions affecting the overall efficiency of the process. While chemical coagulants can address specific parameters, they may not treat all constituents, making coagulation-flocculation insufficient in most treatment cases. Due to which the application of coagulation/ flocculation as a pre-treatment or post-treatment method, in combination with other physico-chemical or biological techniqueswould address the complexities of LL, ensuring a more successful and efficient treatment process.

References

- [1] ZhiPing Liu, WenHui Wu, Ping Shi, JinSong Guo, and Jin Cheng. Characterization of dissolved organic matter in landfill leachate during the combined treatment process of air stripping, fenton, sbr and coagulation. *Waste Management*, 2015.
- [2] Diego Baderna, Francesca Caloni, and Emilio enfenati. Investigating landfill leachate toxicity in vitro: A review of cell models and endpoints. *Environment International and 122, 21-30*, 2019.
- [3] Hngwei Luo, Yifeng Zeng, Dongqin He, and Xiangliang Pan. Recent advances in municipal landfill leachate: A review focusing on its characteristics, treatment, and toxicity assessment. *Science of the Total Environment*, 2019.
- [4] World Bank. World bank annual report. *World Bank Group:elibrary*, 2012.
- [5] Hongwei Luo, Yichao Wu, Aiqin Zhao, Amit Kumar, Yiquan Liu, Bin Cao, and En-Hua Yang. Hydrothermally synthesized porous materials from municipal solid waste incineration bottom ash and their interfacial interactions with chloroaromatic compounds. *Journal of Cleaner Production and 162, 411-419, 2017.*
- [6] Junling Gao, Violet Oloibiri, Michael Chys, wim Audenaert, Bjorge Decostere, Yanling He, German Van Langenhove, Kristof Demeestere, and Stijn Van Hulle. The present status of landfill leachate treatment and its development trend from a technological point of view. *Review in Environmental Scieence and Biotechnology*, 2015.
- [7] Bilal Aftab, Yong Sik Ok, Jinwoo Cho, and Jin Hur. Targeted removal of organic foulants in landfill leachate in forward osmosis system integrated with biochar/activated carbon treatment. *Water Resourse and 160, 217-227,* 2019.
- [8] Muslun Sara Tunc. Co-pretreatment of municipal wastewater and landfill leachate by chemical coagulation using ferric chloride and aluminum sulfate. *Journal of Science and* 679-688, 2020.
- [9] Hongyan Li, Ming Han, Li Hou, Guangke Li, and Sang Nan. Landfill leachate ingestion induces protein oxidation and dna–protein crosslinks in mouse viscera. *Journal of Hazardous Materials and 174, 54-58,* 2010.

- [10] Zheng-Yong Xu, Guang-Ming Zeng, Zhao-Hui Yang, Yong xiao, Ming Cao, Hong-Song Sun, Li-Li Ji, and Ying Chen. Biological treatment of landfill leachate with the integration of partial nitrification, anaerobic ammonium oxidation and heterotrophic denitrification. *Bioresource Technology and 101, 79-86*, 2010.
- [11] Shuokr Qarani Aziz, Hamidi Abdul Aziz, Mohd Suffian Yusoff, and Mohammed J K Bashir. Landfill leachate treatment using powdered activated carbon augmented sequencing batch reactor (sbr) process: Optimization by response surface methodology. *Journal of Hazardous Material and 189, 404-413,* 2011.
- [12] Ana Paula Jambers Scandelai, Lúcio Cardozo Filho, Danielly Cruz Campos Martins, Thabata Karoliny Formicoli de Souza Freitas, Juliana Carla Garcia, and Célia Regina Granhen Tavares. Combined processes of ozonation and supercritical water oxidation for landfill leachate degradation. *Waste management*, 77:466–476, 2018.
- [13] Amin Mojiri, John L. Zhou, Harsha Ratnaweera, Akiyoshi Ohashi, Noriatsu Ozaki, Tomonori Kindaichi, and Hiroshi Asakura. Treatment of landfill leachate with different techniques: an overview. *Water Resource*, 2021.
- [14] Mohamad Taghi Samadi, Mohammad Hossien Saghi, AR Rahmani, J Hasanvand, Somayeh Rahimi, and M. Shirzad Syboney. Hamadan landfill leachate treatment by coagulation-flocculation process. *Iranian Journal of Environmental Health Science and Engineering*, 2010.
- [15] Shubhrasekhar Chakraborty, Mohini Verma, and Naresh R Kumar. Evaluation of coagulation-flocculation process as pretreatment option for landfill leachate using alum, ferric chloride and polyacrylamide grafted gum ghatti. *The Journal of Solid Waste Technology and Management*, 2015.
- [16] Yosr Smaoui, Najwa Mlaik, Jalel Bouzid, and Sami Sayadi. Improvement of anaerobic digestion of landfill leachate by using coagulation-flocculation, fenton's oxidation and air stripping pretreatments. *Environmental Progress and Sustainable energy*, 2017.
- [17] Noor Ainee Zainol, Nur Adrina, Nadia Mohamad, Azlinda Abdul Ghani, Nabilah Aminah Lutpi, and Farah Naemah Mohd Saad. Use of alum and ferric sulphate for treating landfill leachate via coagulation process: A comparative study. *Journal of Engineering Research and Education and 11, 2019 35-44, 2019.*
- [18] Shiwasish Singh Swar, Boonnorat, and Anish JarungwitGhimire. Algae-based treatment of a landfill leachate pretreated by coagulation-flocculation. *Journal of Environmental Management and 118223*, 2023.
- [19] A Fernandes, M.J. Pacheco, L. Ciríaco, and A Lopes. Review on the electrochemical processes for the treatment of sanitary landfill leachates: Present and future. *Applied Catlysis B: Environmental*, 2015.
- [20] Vincenzo Torretta, Navarro Ferronato, Ioannis A Katsoyiannis, Tolkou Athanasia K., and Michela Airoldi. Novel and conventional technologies for landfill leachates treatment: A review. *Sustainability*, 2017.
- [21] A. Shehzad, M.J.K. Bashir, S. Sethupathi, and J.W. Lim. An overview of heavily polluted landfill leachate treatment using food waste as an alternative and renewable source of activated carbon. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, 2015.
- [22] Amina Adedoja Owadunni and Suzylawati Ismail. Revolutionary technique for sustainable plant-based green coagulants in industrial wastewater treatment—a review. *Journal of Water Process Engineering*, 2021.

- [23] S Gautam and Saini Gaurav. Use of natural coagulants for industrial wastewater treatment. *Global Journal of Environmental Science and Management*, 2020.
- [24] Motasem YD Alazaiza, Ahmed Albahnasawi, Gomaa AM Ali, Mohammed JK Bashir, Dia Eddin Nassani, Tahra Al Maskari, Salem S AbuAmr, and Mohammed Shadi S Abujazar. Application of natural coagulants for

pharmaceutical removal from water and wastewater: A review. *Water*, 2022.

[25] A. I Zouboulis and N.D Tzoupanos. Preparation and application of an efficient coagulant for water or wastewater treatment. *Journal of Haardous Material and 162, 1379, 2009.*